

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/787,875	07/19/2001	Jens Ehlers	1998/G-021	8024
23416 75	90 03/01/2006		EXAMINER	
	BOVE LODGE & HUT	RABAGO, ROBERTO		
P O BOX 2207 WILMINGTON, DE 19899			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1713	

DATE MAILED: 03/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/787,875	EHLERS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Roberto Rábago	1713			
The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IN WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicated. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICER 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a dition. Period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y statute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	28 December 2005.				
_					
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice un					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 13-16</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 13-15</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by t	the Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	3 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docu	uments have been received in A	pplication No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	e priority documents have been	received in this National Stage			
application from the International E	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview 5	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	48) Paper No(s)/Mail Date			
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	SB/08) 5) Notice of I	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/787,875

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. Claims 1-7 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Spencer et al. (US 5,633,419) for the reasons set forth in item 3 of the Office action mailed 3/22/2004 and remarks in the Office action mailed 10/28/2004 and remarks in the Office action mailed 6/6/2005.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5-7 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Marchand et al. (US 4,910,272) for the reasons set forth in item 4 of the Office action mailed 3/22/2004 and remarks in the Office action mailed 10/28/2004 and remarks in the Office action mailed 6/6/2005.
- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 12/28/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The declaration of Tim Dickner has been considered, but the showing is not sufficient to remove the rejections. The data presented compares polymers made using either a supported catalyst or an unsupported catalyst. However, as previously stated in item 5 of the Office action mailed 6/6/2005, the claims do not exclude the use of supported catalysts, and therefore the comparison shown in the declaration provides no basis to distinguish over the reference. Furthermore, applicants have not made comparison with the processes cited in the reference, but rather have made up arbitrary

Application/Control Number: 09/787,875

Art Unit: 1713

examples with which to compare their preferred process; as such, the declaration examples using supported catalysts are essentially irrelevant to the rejections of record because they do not correspond to the processes shown in the references.

- 4. Claim 16 is allowed over the prior art currently of record. The use of the phrase "consists of" in line 4 is understood to exclude from the process all catalyst components other than those which result from "reacting a Ti(IV) compound with an organic aluminum compound at from -20°C to 50°C in a suspension medium for from 0.5 minute to 60 minutes".
- 5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/787,875

Art Unit: 1713

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

Page 4

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Roberto Rábago whose telephone number is (571) 272-

1109. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Roberto Rábago

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1713

RR

February 24, 2006